Appendix A

Summary of Robustness Checks

We performed a series of simulations that were examining the robustness of our model specification (Davis et al. 2007). In particular, we explored whether the results would change if we modified the search heuristic h (see Table 3 in the main document) and the design moves (hill-climbing and long-jump) that define how agents search the design space when combining design elements into apps. We implemented three major robustness analyses: First, we examined the robustness of our binary representation of long-jump and hill-climbing as two dichotomous search moves, modeled in accordance with Levinthal (1997). To do so, we explored the effects of an alternative continuous representation following Billinger et al. (2013). Second, we examined the robustness of our assumption about the average amount of resources for long-jumps (R) that each agent has available when moving through the iterative search process (Billinger et al. 2013; March 1981; Rivkin 2000). Third, we also explored whether a simple categorical function to model failure-induced jumps is appropriate given alternative probabilistic models suggested in the literature on search and decision making (Greve 1998, 2002; Hu et al. 2011; Lant 1992). We will briefly report the results of these three robustness checks.

Robustness Check 1: Alternative Modeling of Local Versus Distant Search

In our simulations reported in the main document, we modeled hill-climbing and long-jumps as dichotomous facets of local versus distant search, following the line of research of Levinthal (1997). Our agents randomly change a design element in their design vector \(d = <d_1, \ldots, d_{16}>\). The type of search move (hill-climbing or long-jump) defines how many decision variables they change. If they are hill-climbing, they change only one element, but if they engage in a long-jump, they randomly change several (up to six) design elements in their vector. We labeled this as a “greedy” model in our simulation model, and also in the code itself. As an alternative approach, we implemented and tested Billinger et al.’s (2013) approach to modeling different facets of search. In this alternative approach, the agents gradually adjust their search distance starting with an initial search distance of three that is then adjusted according to their success. We labeled this modeling as adaptive (and the code respectively). In essence, this implies that if agents could find a higher position, they became more conservative and
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gradually reduced the search distance over time. On the contrary, if agents are unsuccessful, they became more risk-taking by increasing their search distance gradually. Thus, our agents rapidly take many long-jumps at high levels of coupling. The use of what we call adaptive in our code resulted in a higher number of iterations, and slightly less pronounced results. However, the general insights gained from our simulations remain the same. Only minor differences could be detected. We judged our results as robust after completing these robustness checks.

**Robustness Check 2: Varying the Level of Resources for Long-Jumps**

The second aspect that we explored was resources for long-jumps available to our agents (March and Shapira 1992). Indeed, prior studies extending Levinthal’s the NK model highlight that bold long-jumps are limited by the resources available to the agent (Billinger et al. 2013; Rivkin 2000). Further, this theoretical assumption is also consistent with empirical insights. Major design moves are resource intensive, and accrue technological debt (Gilette 2011; Woodard et al. 2013). Developing a radically new app takes time, money, and energy, and such resources deplete. Thus, we explored different scenarios by limiting the number of long-jumps available to each agent from 25, 50, 100, to 250. Obviously more resources for long-jumps altered the results significantly, particularly at the lower end of the spectrum: If resources were really low (10 or 25 jumps as average), agents quickly suffered from too little resources to engage in long-jumps even if they aspired to jump because they were below their competitive aspiration. We learned that a minimum of 50 long-jumps is necessary to allow developers to cope with higher levels of coupling. If the amount of resources available is really high (e.g., 500 long-jumps as average), the differences in the effect of producers’ design strategies (optimizing versus satisficing) unfold in an even more pronounced way. The downside of optimizing is even more obvious: platforms with optimizing producers perform significantly lower, and the outcome is even more skewed such that only a few stars are clearly separated from the rest of the population. However, general trends and transition points were similar, and we learned that, on platforms where “extra” effort and major design moves are needed (tight coupling), very high levels of resources for risk-taking long-jumps can be very detrimental.

**Robustness Check 3: Probabilistic Function for Failure-Induced Long-Jumps**

Finally, we also explored the impact of a probabilistic model for failure-induced long-jumps as a function of one agent’s distance from the performance target associated with his competitive aspiration. Prior research on adaptive aspirations has concluded that both individuals and organizations often follow a simple heuristic when judging their performance as failure, and taking distant moves depending on their relative standing. They encode any value above their aspiration as a success and thus hill-climb (and the opposite for any value below as failure, triggering long-jumps). However, following prior work by Greve (2002) and other recent studies on adaptive aspirations (Hu et al. 2011; Lant 1992), we also pursued a probabilistic representation of the rule. We provided a higher probability for an agent making long-jumps if the agent is farther away from the agent’s competitive aspiration (which can be either an optimizing or a satisficing one). In our probabilistic modeling, the ones that are separated from their aspiration by the greatest distance had a probability of 0.9 to engage in a long-jump; the ones that were closer to their aspiration had only 0.1 probability of taking a long-jump. The probability was linearly distributed between 0.1 and 0.9, in accordance with the constant-slope response model proposed by Greve (1998). The results obtained in the experiments with a probabilistic modeling approach were completely consistent with the ones obtained when agents follow a categorical decision rule.

**Appendix B**

**Note on Simulation Length**

Our simulation ends when all the agents exhausted their resources available for long-jumps (the maximum number of long-jumps available to them) or when no agent changes the position after a full iteration. The length of the simulations varies depending on K, the tightness of coupling of the elements in the platform, and other treatment conditions. For the reported number of simulations (based on an average maximum number of long-jumps of 100), the number of design iterations ranged from 6 to 500.

In Figure B1, we provide an overview of the length of the simulations for different levels of coupling (K), no constraint (C = 0), and speed of adjustment of S = 1 and S = 10. The length of the simulation increases as K increases. Further, with a higher S, we see that the number of iterations decreases as K increases. If we increase C, the simulations also become shorter. The average number of iterations was 311 across all simulation experiments. Thus, on average the simulations ended before the maximum length of 500 iterations because agents had exploited their resources for long-jumps or had settled on the design with the highest fitness.
Appendix C

Simulation Code

Summary Information

Our computational model extends the traditional NK Model used by Levinthal (1997). In this pseudocode, we present the main loop of the simulations with variations.

The code is optimized for speed. Therefore, the code is as simple as possible using extremely simple logical structures. NK landscapes are mapped into a vector with a single index. Agents are depicted as a structure and also arranged as a vector of this structure. The program is written in Julia, a very fast dynamic programming language for high-performance numerical analysis.

The resulting algorithm is simple. For each simulation a landscape is created. Then 1,000 agents are randomly placed on it. For each iteration and each agent, a movement is executed. Hill-climbing is first attempted. If hill-climbing is not possible because the agent has reached a local maximum, a long-jump is executed in accordance with the behavioral rules specified for the agents. These movements are continued until the end of the simulation (when no movements are left).
Table C1. Summary of Code Structure (Pseudo-Code)

```plaintext
type agent
    position  # position in the NK landscape
    searchdistance  # (initially 3, only in case of adaptive jumps with changing radius
                    # in accordance with Billinger et al. 2013, not used for greedy)
    maxJumps  # each agent has a max number of jumps
    numJumps  # the number of long-jumps that has done the agent so far
end

for constraints = none, 2 bits, 4 bits, 6 bits
    for aspiration point = none, medianAgent, topAgent
        for K = 0..15
            for experiments = 1..500
                landscape = create a landscape(N = 16, K, constraints)
                Deploy 1000 agents in random locations in the landscape
                while there are still changes AND there are iterations left
                    find aspiration point # either top, median or none if hill-climbing
                    for each agent
                        # hill-climbing
                        Search at distance 1 for the best design with platform constraints
                        If none better found AND fitness(agent)<aspiration point
                            jump by randomly changing between 2..6 bits
                            agent.numJumps++
                            there are changes = TRUE
                    end
                end
            end
        end
    end
end
```

The Implementation in Julia (version v 0.4)

BestStrategy.jl

```plaintext
include("ListStrategies.jl")
include("Fitness.jl")

function BestStrategy(strategy,ag,iN)
    # BestStrategy - Looks for the best possible strategy of the agents
    # Return
    #    newStg  ->  New Strategy to implement
    # Inputs
    #    strategy->  1)Incremental + greedy (max fitness)
    #               2)Incremental + fitter (better fitness with fitness' prob.)
    #               3)Pattern selection
    #    ag  ->  Agent to be considered
    #    iN  ->  Range of bits to consider e.g., beginning: end (depends if some components are fixed ...)

    maxFit=Fitness(ag.stg)
    newStg=ag.stg
```

A4  MIS Quarterly Vol. 43 No. 4—Appendices/December 2019
#if (strategy == 1 || strategy == 2 || strategy==3 || strategy==4 || strategy==5)

    # Incremental + greedy
    lStg=ListStrategies(ag.stg,IN,1)

    for lS=1:size(lStg)[1]
        if (Fitness(lStg[lS]) > maxFit)
            newStg=lStg[lS]
            maxFit=Fitness(lStg[lS])
        end
    end
#end
return newStg
end

BitGet.jl

function BitGet(i,nbit)
    # BitGet
    # Returns:
    # 0,1  -> value of the bit
    # Inputs:
    # i    -> integer to consider
    # nbit -> number of bit to consider

    i=int32(i)

    if (i & int32(2^(nbit-1))) >0
        return 1
    else
        return 0
    end
end

BitSet.jl

function BitSet(i,nbit,val)
    # BitSet
    # Returns:
    # i    -> i with nbit set to val
    # Inputs:
    # i    -> integer to consider
    # nbit  -> number of bit to consider
    # val   -> value to set (0,1)

    i=int32(i)

    if val==1
        i=i|2^(nbit-1)
    else
        i=i&~(2^(nbit-1))
    end

    return i
end
**ListStrategies.jl**

function ListStrategies(stgO,iN,M)
# ListStrategies From a given strategy, lists all strategies that differ in M or less components
# Returns:
#  lStg -> vector with all possible strategies
# Inputs:
#  stgO -> original strategy
#  iN -> elements (bits) to be considered in the set
#  M -> maximum number of components in which strategies can differ=1;
  xM=M

lStg=zeros(Int,1)
if (xM>size(iN,1))
  xM=size(iN,1)
end
for i=1:xM
  combi=collect(combinations(iN,i))
  n_combi=size(combi,1)
  s_combi=size(combi[1],2)

  for j=1:n_combi
    n_stg=stgO
    for t=1:s_combi
      if (n_stg & 2^(combi[j,t][1]-1)) == 0
        # if (bitget(n_stg,combi[j,t])==0)
        n_stg=(n_stg | 2^(combi[j,t][1]-1))
        # n_stg=bitset(n_stg,combi[j,t]);
      else
        n_stg=(n_stg $ 2^(combi[j,t][1]-1))
        # n_stg=bitset(n_stg,combi[j,t],0);
      end
    end
    if i==1 && j==1  # first time
      lStg[1]=n_stg
    else
      push!(lStg,n_stg)
    end
  end
end
return lStg
end
CreaLandscape.jl

```julia
# CreaLandscape(N,K) Creates a landscape N-K (see Kauffman) # Returns:
# m_cs->max interactions # CS -> global variable that contains vector dependencies # CV -> global variable that contains random number used to build the # landscape # Inputs: # N -> number of different components of the Strategy # K -> number of components of which every single component depends on

dosaN=2^N
dosaK1=2^(K+1)

landscape=zeros(dosaN,1)
cs=zeros(Int,N,K+1)
cvx=rand(dosaK1,N)

#Random with repetition
for i=[1:N]
tmp=[1:i-1,i+1:N]
tmp1=randperm(N-1)
    cs[i,:]=tmp[tmp1[1:K]]
## cs(i,:)=sort(cs(i,:))
end

maxval=0
minval=9

for i=[0:(dosaN-1)]
    valor=0;
    for j=[1:N]
        ind=0;
        for p=[1:(K+1)]
            pm=int32(2^cs[j,p])
# println(i, " ,pm," ,i&pm," ,ind|pm)
    if (i & int32(2^(cs[j,p]-1))) >0
        ind=(ind | 2^(p-1))
    end
    end
    if (bitset(i,cs(j,p))==1)
        ind=bitset(ind,p,1);
    end
    valor=valor+cvx[ind+1,j]
end
landscape[i+1]=valor/N
end

if (landscape[i+1]>maxval)
    maxval=landscape[i+1]
end
```
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maxLand=i+1
end
if (landscape[i+1]<minval)
    minval=landscape[i+1]
end
end
dif=maxval-minval
landscape=(landscape.-minval)/dif
return landscape
end

Fitness.jl

function Fitness(stg)
# Fitness Returns the fitness of an strategy
# Returns:
#     fit  -> fitness corresponding to the strategy of the agent
# Inputs:
#     stg  -> strategy of the agent

global landscape
fit=landscape[stg+1]
return fit
end
Simula.jl

include("BestStrategy.jl")
include("Fitness.jl")
include("BitGet.jl")
include("BitSet.jl")

#----------------------------------------------------------------------
function Simula(strategy,ag,aex...)
    # Simula    Performs a simulation depending on the Strategy
    # -> strategy=1 - Hill-climbing
    # -> strategy=2 - Hill-climbing with info about avg Fitness of the Landscape
    # Returns:
    #       ag -> structure of agents
    # bestCases -> final benchmark
    # Inputs:
    # strategy -> 0= Hill-climbing - used as a baseline
    # 1= Hill-climbing with restricted bits
    # 2= Hill-climbing with explorers using max fitness found w restricted bits
    # 3= Hill-climbing with explorers using avg fitness found w restricted bits
    # 4= Hill-climbing using Best Cases from explorers
    # 5= Hill-climbing from Best Cases extracted from the agents themselves
    # ag -> structure of agents
    # aex -> structure of the explorers or number of array of agents to consider for Best Cases
    # required global variables
    # landscape -> the vector representing the landscape
    # N -> number of different components of the Strategy
    # K -> number of components of which every single component depends on

global landscape
global N, K

global nBestCases

global _fixbits, _freebits, _fixval
global _dpivot
dosaN=2^N
dosaK1=2^(K+1)
nagents=size(ag,1)

#counting iterations
_niter=0

if strategy==1 || strategy==0
    # Do Hill-climbing
    canvi=true
    while canvi
        canvi=false
        for i=1:nagents
            if strategy==0
                newStg=BestStrategy(strategy, ag[i],[1:N])
            else
                newStg=BestStrategy(strategy, ag[i], _freebits)
            end
        end
    end
else
    # Do Hill-climbing with info about avg Fitness of the Landscape
    # Do Hill-climbing using Best Cases from explorers
    # Do Hill-climbing from Best Cases extracted from the agents themselves
end
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```plaintext
end
if newStg ! ag[i].stg
    ag[i].stg=newStg
canvi=true
end

_niter=_niter+1
end
return (ag, _niter)
end

if (strategy==2 || strategy==3 || strategy==4)
    # Do Hill-climbing with Explorers with the max fitness found by the explorers
    ex=aex[1]
e=size(ex,1)
if (strategy==2 || strategy==3)
    avgEx=0
    for i=1:e
        if strategy==2
            if Fitness(ex[i].stg)>avgEx
                avgEx=Fitness(ex[i].stg)
            end
        else
            avgEx=avgEx+Fitness(ex[i].stg)
        end
    end
    if strategy==3
        avgEx=avgEx/e
    end
    #@printf("avgEx %4f\n",avgEx)
else
    #Select the best cases found by explorers
    bestCases=zeros(e)
    for i=1:e
        bestCases[i]=Fitness(ex[i].stg)
    end
    bestCases=sort(bestCases,rev=true)
end
canvi=true

while canvi
    canvi=false
    if _dpivot>0
        #find minimum fitness
        _minfit=9.0
        for i=1:nagents
            if Fitness(ag[i].stg)<_minfit
                _minfit=Fitness(ag[i].stg)
            end
        end
    end
    for i=1:nagents
        if ag[i].nPivot<ag[i].mPivot
            newStg=BestStrategy(strategy, ag[i], _freebits)
            if newStg != ag[i].stg
                ag[i].stg=newStg
                canvi=true
            end
        end
    end
end
```
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else
  @printf("agent %2d tBCase %2d nPivot %2d mPivot %2d \n",i,ag[i].tBCase,ag[i].nPivot,ag[i].mPivot)
  if ((strategy== 2 || strategy==3) && Fitness(newStg)<avgEx) ||
    ( strategy==4 && Fitness(newStg)<bestCases[ag[i].tBCase] )
  # @printf("Old strategy %7f New strategy %7f",ag[i].stg,newStg)
  # @printf("Aixo no hauria de passar Fitness(newStg) %7f avgEx %7f dif %7f\n",Fitness(newStg),avgEx,avgEx-Fitness(newStg))
  # Jump
    _jump=false
  if _dpivot==0
    #greedy
      _jump=true
    else
      #only 1 proportional negative is considered
      if (strategy==2 || strategy==3)
        _p=(Fitness(ag[i].stg)-_minfit)/(avgEx-_minfit)
      else
        _p=(Fitness(ag[i].stg)-_minfit)/(bestCases[ag[i].tBCase]-_minfit)
      end
      _p=1-_p
      if rand()<=_p
        _jump=true
      end
    end
  if _jump=true
    btC=int(rand()*(4)+2 #bt 2..6 bits
    for j=1:btC
      bC=int(rand()*(length(_freebits)-1))+1
      if BitGet(ag[i].stg,_freebits[bC])==0 # Flip
        ag[i].stg=BitSet(ag[i].stg,_freebits[bC],1)
      else
        ag[i].stg=BitSet(ag[i].stg,_freebits[bC],0)
      end
    end
    canvi=true
    ag[i].nPivot=ag[i].nPivot+1
  end
end

if (strategy==5)
  # Do Hill-climbing using Best Cases crowdsourced from the agents themselves
  ex=aex[1]
  e=size(ex,1)
  bestCases=zeros(e)
  for i=1:e
    bestCases[i]=Fitness(ag[ex[i]].stg)
  end
  bestCases=sort(bestCases,rev=true)

  # for i=1:length(bestCases)
@printf("Best Case %2d %2.5f \n",i,bestCases[i])
end

avgF=0
for i=1:nagents
  avgF=avgF+Fitness(ag[i].stg)
end
avgF=avgF/nagents
@printf("Init %3d Average fitness of Best Cases %2.5f Agents %2.5f\n",e,mean(bestCases[1:5]),avgF)
canvi=true
njump=0
while canvi=false
  canvi=false
  if _dpivot>0
    #find minimum fitness
    _minfit=9.0
    for i=1:nagents
      if Fitness(ag[i].stg)<_minfit
        _minfit=Fitness(ag[i].stg)
      end
    end
  end
  @printf("We have _minfit \n")
  for i=1:nagents
    if ag[i].nPivot<ag[i].mPivot
      newStg=BestStrategy(strategy, ag[i], _freebits)
      if newStg != ag[i].stg
        ag[i].stg=newStg
        canvi=true
      else
        # @printf("Are we going to jump? Fitness(newStg) %5f bestCases[ag[i].tBCase] %5f \n","Fitness(newStg),bestCases[ag[i].tBCase]
        if Fitness(newStg)<bestCases[ag[i].tBCase]
          @printf("Are we going to jump 2?\n")
          @printf("agent %2d tBCase %2d nPivot %2d mPivot %2d \n",i,ag[i].tBCase,ag[i].nPivot,ag[i].mPivot)
          @printf("Fitness(newStg) %4f\n","Fitness(newStg)
          if _jump==true
            _jump=false
            if _dpivot==0
              #greedy
              _jump=true
            else
              #only 1 proportional negative is considered
              _p=(Fitness(ag[i].stg)-_minfit)/(bestCases[ag[i].tBCase]-_minfit)
            end
            _p=1-_-p
            if rand()<=_-p
              _jump=true
            end
          end
          if _jump==true
            btC=int(rand()*(length(_freebits)-1))+2
            for j=1:btC
              bC=int(rand()*(length(_freebits)-1))+1
              if BitGet(ag[i].stg,_freebits[bC])==0 # Flip
                ag[i].stg=BitSet.ag[i].stg._freebits[bB],1)
else
    ag[i].stg=BitSet(ag[i].stg, _freebits[bC], 0)
end
end
canvi=true
ag[i].nPivot=ag[i].nPivot+1
njump=njump+1
end
end
end
end

bestCases=zeros(e)
for i=1:e
    bestCases[i]=Fitness(ag[ex[i]].stg)
end
bestCases=sort(bestCases, rev=true)
_niter=_niter+1
end
#
# avgF=0
# for i=1:nagents
#    avgF=avgF+Fitness(ag[i].stg)
# end
# avgF=avgF/nagents
#
# @printf("... Average fitness of Best Cases %2.5f Agents %2.5f jumps %4d\n", mean(bestCases[1:5]), avgF, njump)
# for i=1:nagents
#   if Fitness(ag[i].stg)<bestCases[ag[i].tBCase]
#     #tobat
#     @printf(">>> agent %3d fitness %2.4f tBCase %2d fitness Best Case %2.4f nPivots %3d maxPivots %3d \n",
#            i, Fitness(ag[i].stg), ag[i].tBCase, bestCases[ag[i].tBCase], ag[i].nPivot, ag[i].mPivot)
#   end
# end
#
return ag, bestCases[1:nBestCases]
return (ag, _niter)
end
NKtransp.jl

# NKtransp ----
# command line inputs
# NKtransp.jl <nagents> <nexperiments> <maxSearchTrials> <agentsRisk> <platformBits> <meanPivots> <speed>
# nagents Number of agents to be deployed in the landscape - typically 1000
# nexperiments Number of experiments to perform - bt 100..1000
# maxSearchTrials Max number of Search Trials - bt 100..1000
# agentsRisk 0-> conservative. First they exhaust all incremental opportunities then engage in long-jumps
# 1-> adaptive. They engage in adaptive behavior all the time and change their search radius.
# platformBits Number of bits fixed devoted to the platform.
# meanPivots Mean number of Pivots that agents will do. Normally distributed around meanPivots, std=1
# speed Speed of the update of the social benchmark 0-> static -1 ->every iteration n-> every n iterations
#

include("../CreaLandscape.jl")
include("../BestStrategy.jl")
include("../Fitness.jl")
include("../Simul.jl")

global landscape, N, K

global _fixbits, _freebits, _fixval

N=16
K=0

# get parameters from command line args
if size(ARGS,1)!=7
    @printf("Incorrect args in command line\n")
    @printf("NKtransp.jl <nagents> <nexperiments> <maxSearchTrials> <agentsRisk> <platformBits> <meanPivots> <speed>\n")
    exit()
end

_nag=parse(Int,ARGS[1])
_nexp=parse(Int,ARGS[2])
_mST=parse(Int,ARGS[3])
_agR=parse(Int,ARGS[4])
_nfixbits=parse(Int,ARGS[5])
_mPivots=parse(Int,ARGS[6])
_speed=parse(Int,ARGS[7])

# Fix bits and assign them a value
_fixbits=randperm(N)
_freebits= _fixbits[1:end- _nfixbits]
_fixbits= _fixbits[end-( _nfixbits-1):end]

_fixval=zeros(_nfixbits)
for i in 1:_nfixbits
    _fixval[i]=round(Int,rand())
end

# File name
fname="NK-"string(_agR)"Pl"string(_nfixbits)"Pv"string(_mPivots)"S"string(_speed)Libc.strftime("%Y-%m-%d %H:%M", time())
fOut=open(string(fname,".dat"),"w+")
Brunswicker et al./Optimizing and Satisficing

```plaintext
fOutCsv=open(string(fname,".csv"),"w+")
fOutCsvD=open(string(fname,"D",".csv"),"w+")

write(fOutCsv,"N.Iter, #Bench, K, #Simu, Mean Fitness, Std Fitness, Search Distance
")
write(fOutCsvD,"N.Iter, #Bench, K, #Simu, #Agent, Fitness, Search Distance
")

if _nfixbits!=0
  nB=6
  B=[-1 0 1 2 3 4]
else
  nB=5
  B=[0 1 2 3 4]
end

avgFit=zeros(nB,N,_nexp)
mIterF=zeros(nB,N,_mST)
nIterF=zeros(nB,N,_mST)

type agent
  stg::Int64
  last::Int64
  sD::Int32
  mPivot::Int32
  nPivot::Int32
end

ag=Array(agent,_nag)
aFitness=zeros(_nag)
cB=1
for b in B
  for K in 0:N-1
    @printf("Benchmark %2d NKtransp K=%2d 
",b,K)
    flush(STDOUT)
    sIter=0
    for t in 1:_nexp
      #Create a landscape
      landscape=CreaLandscape(N,K)
      # Put the agents on the floor
      for i in 1:_nag
        init=round(Int,rand()*(2^N-1))
        if b<0
          #Baseline without restricted bits
          ag[i]=agent(init,init,0,0,0) # 0..2^N -1
        else
          _ag=agent(init,init,0,0,0) # 0..2^N -1
          for j inbitrary
            _ag.stg=BitSet(_ag.stg,fixbits[j],fixval[j])
          end
          ag[i]=_ag
        end
        ag[i].sD=3 #initially we set the Search Distance to 3
        ag[i].mPivot=randn()+mPivots
        ag[i].nPivot=Int(0)
      end
    end
  end
end
```
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ag, _niter, iterF=Simul(ag,b,_agR,_mST,_speed)

for i=1:_mST
    miterF[cB,K+1,i] += iterF[i]
    if iterF[i] !=0
        niterF[cB,K+1,i] +=1
    end
end

for i=1:_nag
    # println(Fitness(ag[i].stg))
    aFit=Fitness(ag[i].stg)
    avgFit[cB,K+1,t]=avgFit[cB,K+1,t]+aFit
    aFitness[i]=aFit
    writecsv(fOutCsvD,[_niter b K t i aFit ag[i].sD])
end
avgFit[cB,K+1,t]=avgFit[cB,K+1,t]/_nag
siter=siter+_niter
writecsv(fOutCsv,[_niter b K t mean(aFitness) std(aFitness) mean(ag[]).sD])

    # println(avgFit[K+1,t])
end
@printf("N. of iterations %3d, Fitness %4f, Search Distance %2d \n",siter/_nexp,mean(avgFit[cB,K+1,:]),mean(ag[].sD))
flush(STDOUT)
cB=cB+1
end

for i=1:nB
    for j=1:N
        for k=1:_mST
            if niterF[i,j,k] !=0
                miterF[i,j,k]=miterF[i,j,k]/niterF[i,j,k]
            else
                miterF[i,j,k]=0
            end
        end
    end
end
serialize(fOut,avgFit)
serialize(fOut,miterF)
close(fOut)
close(fOutCsv)
close(fOutCsvD)

#for i=1:2^16
#    @printf("Landscape %5d %7.3f \n",i,landscape[i])
#end

#@printf("Max landscape min landscape %7.3f %7.3f %7.3fn",maximum(landscape),minimum(landscape),mean(landscape))
References


